Next-generation sequencing workflow for assembly of nonmodel mitogenomes exemplified with North Pacific albatrosses (Phoebastria spp.)
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Abstract

Use of complete mitochondrial genomes (mitogenomes) can greatly increase the resolution achievable in phylogeographic and historical demographic studies. Using next-generation sequencing methods, it is now feasible to efficiently sequence mitogenomes of large numbers of individuals once a reference mitogenome is available. However, assembling the initial mitogenomes of nonmodel organisms can present challenges, for example, in birds, where mtDNA is often subject to gene rearrangements and duplications. We developed a workflow based on Illumina paired-end, whole-genome shotgun sequencing, which we used to generate complete 19-kilobase mitogenomes for each of three species of North Pacific albatross, a group of birds known to carry a tandem duplication. Although this duplication had been described previously, our procedure did not depend on this prior knowledge, nor did it require a closely related reference mitogenome (e.g. a mammalian mitogenome was sufficient). We employed an iterative process including de novo assembly, reference-guided assembly and gap closing, which enabled us to detect duplications, determine gene order and identify sequence for primer positioning to resolve any mitogenome ambiguity (via minimal targeted Sanger sequencing). We present full mtDNA annotations, including 22 tRNAs, 2 rRNAs, 13 protein-coding genes, a control region and a duplicated feature for all three species. Pairwise comparisons supported previous hypotheses regarding the phylogenetic relationships within this group and occurrence of a shared tandem duplication. The resulting mitogenome sequences will enable rapid, high-throughput NGS mitogenome sequencing of North Pacific albatrosses via direct reference-guided assembly. Moreover, our approach to assembling mitogenomes should be applicable to any taxon.
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Introduction

Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) is an essential molecular genetic tool for understanding phylogenetic history and population structure. Traditionally, studies used 200–1000 base pairs (bp) of sequence from the control region (CR) or coding regions such as the cytochrome b gene (Avise et al. 1987). Sequencing complete mitochondrial genomes (mitogenomes) has become increasingly feasible and can provide significantly more resolution for finer-scale spatial inferences over relatively recent time frames (Morin et al. 2010; Knaus et al. 2011). Use of complete mitogenomes as an alternative to smaller fragments also enables tests for selection on particular mtDNA genes and use of differential evolution among genes, gene regions and sites within codons for more accurate phylogenetic reconstruction (Ingman & Gyllensten 2007).

The enhanced resolution associated with whole mitogenomes can be especially useful in taxa where duplications affect the CR or adjacent regions, which can complicate traditional Sanger sequencing of those regions; such duplications occur in a broad range of metazoan taxa (Eda et al. 2010). For example, identical or
partially identical mtDNA sequence paralogs are pervasive in Procellariiformes, occurring in several species of albatrosses (Diomedeidae; Abbott et al. 2005; Eda et al. 2010). The maintenance of identical sequences among part, but not all, of two duplicated features (mosaic gene conversion) also has been reported in Diomedeidae CRs, including those of all three species of North Pacific albatrosses investigated in this study (Eda et al. 2010). These characteristics of albatross mitogenomes make targeted Sanger sequencing a multistep, time-consuming process (Eda et al. 2010; Kuro-O et al. 2010). Moreover, the presence of a polycytosine repeat motif directly upstream of the CR, as well as secondary structures, make amplifying and sequencing a single copy of the CR technically challenging (King et al. 2014). One way to circumvent this problem is to target unduplicated regions of the genome, but this requires longer sequences to provide the same information content as the hypervariable segments (HV1 and HV2) of the CR and also prevents the possibility of comparing to previous studies that have used single copies of duplicated regions to draw phylogenetic inferences (Eda et al. 2010; Kuro-O et al. 2010).

Massively parallel (next generation) sequencing (NGS) has been used to sequence full mitogenomes of birds containing duplicated mtDNA features (e.g. Cooke et al. 2012). However, these studies have utilized long-read technology (e.g. reads from a Roche 454 platform) which are typically more expensive to generate per base and exhibit slightly higher rates of sequencing error than short-read technologies (e.g. Illumina MiSeq or HiSeq; Luo et al. 2012). Whole-genome shotgun (WGS) short-read libraries potentially can provide novel solutions to problems associated with Sanger sequencing mtDNA at a reduced cost relative to long-read libraries. By nonselectively sequencing billions of bp of genomic DNA, among which mtDNA are present at 100- to 1000-fold frequency relative to nuclear DNA, it is possible to produce overlapping sequencing reads from independent molecules corresponding to every position of the mitogenome at several-hundred-fold depth, ensuring complete mtDNA representation (King et al. 2014). In the absence of long, complex duplications, such data sets can be readily assembled without prior knowledge of the gene order (i.e. de novo) into a single continuous and complete sequence (Cooke et al. 2012; Barker 2014). Mitogenomes with longer and more complex duplications, however, could require more data processing and minimal targeted Sanger sequencing to determine positioning of particular elements. In this study, we developed a workflow involving use of short-read WGS libraries to characterize the complete mitogenomes, including duplicated regions, of the three North Pacific albatrosses: black-footed albatross (Phoebastria nigripes), Laysan albatross (P. immutabilis) and short-tailed albatrosses (P. albatrus). The impetus for this study was to provide references needed for future high-throughput mitogenome sequencing in these particular species. However, the workflow we developed for this purpose also provides a resource that should be applicable to a broader range of nonmodel taxa with or without duplications in their organellar DNA.

Methods

DNA extraction, library preparation and Illumina sequencing

We extracted genomic DNA from pectoral muscle tissue sampled from by-caught albatrosses from Hawaii (n = 1 Laysan, 1 black-footed) and Alaska (n = 2 short-tailed) using a DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (Qiagen) following manufacturer protocols. Prior to fragmentation, we quantified extracted DNA concentrations using a Nanodrop (Thermo Scientific) and standardized concentrations to 10 ng/μL via dilution in sterile water. We fragmented genomic DNA to approximately 550 bp via sonication using a Bioruptor® ultrasonicator (Diagenode). To confirm that DNA was fragmented properly, we visualized prefragmented and post-fragmented samples on an agarose gel and additionally quantified the fragmented aliquots on a 2100 Bioanalyzer using a DNA High Sensitivity assay (Agilent). Then, we performed library preparations using half-volume reactions in a NEBNext Ultra DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England Biolabs), including size selection for 500-bp fragments using AMPure magnetic beads (Agencourt), following manufacturer instructions. During library preparation, we individually barcoded samples for multiplexing using the NEBNext Multiplex Oligos for Illumina (New England Biolabs). Lastly, we pooled our barcoded libraries and performed 250-bp paired-end sequencing on a MiSeq™ platform (Illumina) at the University of California, Davis Genome Center Core Facility.

Read preprocessing

We demultiplexed samples and trimmed adapter sequence using MiSEQ REPORTER version 2.3.32 (Illumina). We then paired forward and reverse mated reads with a minimum overlap of 20 bp using FLASH version 1.2.7 (Magoc & Salzberg 2011). Finally, we trimmed sequencing artefacts from the 5′ ends, trimmed poor-quality 3′ sequence and filtered the paired reads for overall quality (remaining reads with >50% bases having quality score <2 were discarded) using NCSSHORT (Chen et al. 2013). We used these quality-filtered, mated reads in all subsequent analyses.
Identifying duplication and sequence assembly

We approached mitogenome assembly for each individual \((n = 4)\) of the three species using a workflow we designed to handle genome duplications in previously unsequenced mitogenomes (code available in Appendix S1, Supporting information). To ensure that our assembly was independent of prior knowledge of the duplication in the \(\text{Phoebastria}\) mitogenome (Eda et al. 2010; Kuro-O et al. 2010), we relied entirely on the reads generated in this study and guidance from orthologous mitogenomes. Initially, we used for this purpose the mitogenome of a confamilial albatross, \(\text{Thalassarche melanophris}\) (AY158677.2; Slack et al. 2006), but also explored whether a more distantly related mitogenome could suffice (described below). Although the \(T.\ melanophris\) mitogenome contained a duplication as well (Abbott et al. 2005), it differed from that of the North Pacific albatrosses in that the \(T.\ melanophris\) cytochrome \(b\) pseudogene at the start site of the duplication was substantially shorter than that of \(\text{Phoebastria}\) spp.

We used an iterative de novo approach to assemble sequences (Fig. 1; Appendix S1, Supporting information). For the initial (tentative) assembly, we extracted all reads that aligned to the \(T.\ melanophris\) mitogenome by combining the ‘–very-sensitive’ and ‘—no-unal’ parameterization in BOWTIE2 (Langmead et al. 2009), but reserved nonaligning reads for subsequent steps. We converted the resulting sequence alignment/map (SAM) files to FASTA format using a combination of SAMTOOLS (Li et al. 2009) and command line functions in AWK. These sequence files were significantly reduced in size relative to the entire read data set, enabling rapid de novo assembly of the mtDNA into contigs (i.e. consensus sequences derived from overlapping reads) using a greedy assembler in CAP3 (Huang & Madan 1999). We chose CAP3 for the assembly because it does not rely on specifying a k-mer length and can be run as part of a loop among several samples more easily than an assembler that requires an optimized k-mer length. After confirming orthology of the de novo assembled contigs to avian mtDNA using a Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLASTN), we aligned reads back to them and visually checked for accuracy and even read depth in TABLET version 1.13.12.17 (Milne et al. 2013). During this step, we removed poorly assembled contigs (<10 reads aligning or a nucleotide mismatch frequency >0.6%) and manually trimmed 3’ or 5’ ends covered by a single read.

To obtain a tentative gene order, we aligned contigs by hand using BLASTN results and a standard avian gene order (Abbott et al. 2005). Because the regions between contigs were not known (i.e. were not assembled de

![Fig. 1](image_url) Flowchart of assembly workflow. Grey boxes correspond to specific steps (with numbers corresponding to their respective command line codes, where applicable; Appendix S1, Supporting information); white boxes correspond to questions asked before and after steps; and black boxes correspond to the start and end points of the workflow.
no), we left gaps between contigs and attempted to fill them using that individual’s full filtered read set, including those reads not initially aligning to the T. melanophiris reference. Specifically, we manually created a single scaffold sequence in BIOEDIT version 7.1.3.0 (Hall 1999) for each individual based on this tentative gene order, filled gaps between contigs with N’s, and entered each individual’s scaffold and corresponding reads into the gap closing algorithm within SOAPdenovo (GapCloser; Li et al. 2010). We aligned reads back to the tentative consensus sequence output from GAPCLOSER using BOWTIE2 and inspected the alignment for remaining gaps and evenness of read depth in TABLET.

To discover duplications, we inspected excessively covered regions, which often indicate spurious alignment of paralogous loci (Medvedev et al. 2009). Because paralogous features start and end at different parts of the genome and thus each copy should have different flanking sequences, we focused on reads aligning at the 5’ and 3’ ends of overrepresented regions. Specifically, we constructed reference sequences from contigs abutting flanking regions (i.e. contigs representing the 5’ and 3’ terminating ends of excessively covered regions) and inserted a string of N’s extending into the flanking regions. This allowed reads with flanking sequence not initially aligning to the T. melanophiris sequence to align to the N’s and enabled a BLASTN search to guide positioning of paralogs. Once boundaries were identified, we inferred the correct placement of the duplication and used this information to construct a consensus sequence manually with a newly inferred gene order (i.e. including the tentative duplication). We then used it as a reference in place of the previous sequence (which was constructed based on a standard avian mtDNA gene order), in another round of alignment and assembly. We accepted final consensus sequences when all mtDNA reads aligned to the consensus sequences with no mismatching motifs or gaps. Any remaining ambiguities in duplicated regions could then be addressed through Sanger sequencing.

To investigate the applicability of this workflow to nonmodel organisms with no available closely related reference mitogenome, we performed the same analysis on each sample, but replacing the T. melanophiris reference with a mouse (Mus musculus) mitogenome (GenBank Accession no. AP013031). Because of the evolutionary distance between Mus and Phoebastria, we ran an iterative preliminary step to extract as many mtDNA reads as possible for de novo assembly (Appendix S1, Supporting information). To this end, we relaxed alignment parameters by adding the ‘-L 10’ and ‘-very-sensitive-local’ parameters in BOWTIE2. We then de novo assembled the reads we extracted and used them as seeds for 5’- and 3’-end extension using the targeted assembly software MAPSEMBLER2 and each individual’s read data set (Peterlongo & Chikhi 2012). From this point on, the procedure was the same as described above and resulted in the same contiguous consensus sequences.

Sanger sequencing

We applied Sanger sequencing to resolve the positioning ambiguity between paralogs. We conducted polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using the following primer pairs: Lcyt246.dio (5’-CCTCCACGGCAACGGAG-3’; Eda et al. 2006) and Albcblock2.R (5’-GTGCTGATTCTCCGTGAG-3’, designed in this study). The PCR mixtures contained 1 μL genomic DNA extract, 1× PCR Buffer (Life Technologies), 1.5 mM MgCl2, 2 μM each dNTPs, 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumen (New England Biolabs), 0.075 μM forward and reverse primers and 0.1 U of AmpliTaq (Life Technologies). We amplified DNA using a thermal profile consisting of one 10 min cycle at 94 °C followed by 30 cycles combining a 30 s denaturation step at 94 °C, a 30 s annealing step at 60 °C and a 90 s extension at 74 °C followed by a final extension step for 10 min at 74 °C.

We attempted to sequence amplicons in both directions using an internal primer modified from Kuro-O et al. (2010; AlbCR1.F: 5’-AGACTTGGCGCCTGAAAA AC-3’) and the same reverse primer used in the PCR (see Results for further explanation). We sequenced PCR products using a BigDye® Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems) electrophoresed on an ABI 3730 DNA sequencer and visualized chromatograms using Bioedit. (Note: although we made use of some previously published primers for Sanger sequencing, we could have just as easily designed all primers directly from conserved segments of the NGS assemblies.)

Annotation and mitogenome comparisons

We annotated our consensus mitogenomes using the Dual Organellar Genome Annotator (DOGMA; Wyman et al. 2004) and compared the annotation to the T. melanophiris mitogenome for additional reference. After annotating and trimming the small, tandem repeat region after the 5’ end of CR2 (because of low confidence in assembly accuracy associated with small repeat regions), we aligned the sequences to one another using the multiple sequence comparison algorithm in MEGAl6 (Tamura et al. 2013). We then divided consensus sequences into their respective annotated mtDNA features and calculated base pair composition for each feature in MEGAl6. For direct pairwise comparisons, we calculated the number of transitions and transversions among species for each feature in the ‘PopGenome’ package in R (R Core
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Team 2012; Pfeifer et al. 2014) and the synonymous–non-synonymous substitution (\(K_s/K_a\)) ratio for all protein-coding genes in DNAsp (Librado & Rozas 2009). We then calculated approximate pairwise divergences based on sequence differences (number of mutations/sequence length). To compare CR directly within and among species, we estimated the best fit nucleotide substitution model in MEGA6 and, using this model, computed a maximum-likelihood tree (with 500 bootstrap replicates) of a relevant 223 bp segment of HV1.

Results

Mitogenome assemblies

After adapter trimming, mate pairing and filtering for quality, we obtained 7.71 million paired reads averaging 362 bp (range: 233–452 bp) in length for analysis. On average among individuals, 1.81% (range: 1.28–2.27%) of reads aligned to the \(T.\) \textit{melanophris} reference sequence, resulting in an average per site, per individual read depth of 694X (range: 127–1297X; Fig. 2a). During this step, we identified a region in these initial assemblies with approximately twice the read depth as other regions, presumably reflecting a duplication (Fig. 2a). A BLASTN search revealed that these regions corresponded to the mtDNA CR as well as to portions of cytochrome \(b\), NADH dehydrogenase 6 (ND6) and associated tRNAs. Closer inspection of the reads aligned to the double-covered locations further revealed a mismatching motif between approximately half of the reads corresponding to HV1 of the CR (Fig. 2b). We hypothesized that the initial de novo assembly failed to distinguish two duplicated paralogs, which were identical in sequence for most of the duplication (i.e. except a segment towards the 5' terminating end of the CR [HV1]), and spuriously collapsed them into a single contig.

Assuming the double-covered region corresponded to a duplication, our alignment should have systematically excluded most of the reads containing the boundaries of the duplication due to partial misalignment. To test this, we determined the start site of the putative duplication by examining the 5' terminating end of the region where read depth doubled, which was approximately 600 bp into the cytochrome \(b\) gene (on the \(T.\) \textit{melanophris} reference), continuing downstream through the CR. We created a FASTA file from the ~2-kbp conserved, presumably duplicated sequence from cytochrome \(b\) to the CR, added N’s to 5' and 3' ends, and used this sequence as a reference to extract additional reads overlapping putative boundaries (Fig. 2c). As expected, there were two distinct sequence motifs at the 5' terminating end of the conserved duplicated reference. Based on a BLASTN search of reads containing each motif, one of these motifs aligned to an upstream portion of the cytochrome \(b\) gene and the other one aligned to the 3' end of the CR. Reads with motif 1 mapped seamlessly to a complete and functional cytochrome \(b\) gene and reads with motif 2 revealed a partial cytochrome \(b\) gene (i.e. beginning ~600 bp into the gene sequence) directly following the CR. Whereas Fig. 2c illustrates only the reads at the 5' end of the duplication, we used the same procedure to determine

![Fig. 2](image_url) Visualization of duplication discovery steps as illustrated with sample BFAL1 (which had the fewest reads), including (a) coverage map, illustrating read depth corresponding to sites along the initial tentative consensus sequence after the first iteration of assembly and gap closure, with suspected region of gene duplication/paralogs indicated; (b) alignment of reads to the HV1 portion of the CR within the tentative consensus sequence, illustrating two distinct sets of sequence motifs (mismatches to reference CR contig shown as highlighted nucleotides and sorted for ease of reading) within this double-covered segment; (c) alignment of reads mapping to the start site of the duplication, illustrating the 5' end of this segment with two distinct motifs (highlighted) and the 3' end (~600 bp into the cytochrome \(b\) gene) with identical read sequences (not highlighted).
that the 3’ end of the duplicated region (associated with the CR) abutted against two different motifs: one aligning to part of the cytochrome b gene and one containing the repeat region between the CR and 12s rRNA. This pattern indicated that the duplicated region spanned ~2 kbp beginning with a downstream portion of the cytochrome b gene (pseudogene), through complete copies of ND6 and all associated tRNAs, ending with a complete copy of the CR (Fig. 3).

The only ambiguity that remained unresolved was the order of two HV1 paralogs within the duplicate CRs; this was because the length of identical sequence surrounding these variants was greater than our maximum paired read length. We therefore attempted to Sanger sequence this region by first amplifying the larger region containing only one variant (HV1 from CR1) using primers Lcyt246.dio and AlbCblock2.R, and then sequencing the portion of this amplicon corresponding to the HV1 variant using primers AlbCR1.F and AlbCblock2.R (Fig. 3). Despite numerous attempts for each individual under a range of PCR conditions (including multiple repetitions under identical conditions), we succeeded in producing usable sequences for only one sample: the black-footed albatross sample (BFAL1, used for NGS) and one additional unrelated black-footed albatross (BFAL2, GenBank Accession nos. KM878669–KM878670), but not in the other two species. Our read depth from the WGS data representing each individual was also significantly lower in this region (minimum read depth per individual spanning this region ranged from 15X in black-footed to 71X in Laysan), presumably due to similar biochemical issues (see Discussion). Nevertheless, we obtained two sequences via Sanger methods enabling us to determine the correct positioning of HV1 in the black-footed albatross CRs. We relied on a 3-bp motif common to one copy of the HV1 paralogs of all three species (AAA in HV1-CR1 and GAG in HV1-CR2 in all three species) to infer the position in the other two species for which we were unable to Sanger sequence the region directly.

To finalize mitogenome assembly under the model proposed and supported above, we returned to the full set of reads to recover any that were left out due to partial mismatches with the T. melanophris mitogenome for reads flanking the duplication. To do this, we attempted to manually construct a final consensus sequence containing both duplications. Because assembly algorithms could not distinguish between paralogs, we aligned these contigs by hand in Bioedit into a new gene order that included both copies of the duplicated region as inferred above (Fig. 3), yielding our hypothetical consensus sequence. Lastly, we aligned reads against the final hypothetical consensus sequence and observed no unevenness or gaps in coverage and no mismatching bases other than sequencing errors and a heteroplasmic site in the CR for the entire ~19-kbp mitogenome (Fig. 4).

We repeated the procedure above substituting the mouse mitogenome for the T. melanophris mitogenome as the initial reference, which resulted in 0.25% (range: 0.18–0.28%) of total albatross reads aligning directly. Although this represented approximately 7-fold fewer
reads than aligned to the more closely related reference, the resulting contigs provided sufficient seeds for the workflow to ultimately produce identical alignments after four or fewer iterations.

Having completed assembly of these mitogenomes, including correct HV1 paralog order (GenBank Accession nos.: KJ735512–KJ735514), we compared the total number of reads aligning back to them to those aligning to the reference mitogenomes initially used in our workflow (Fig. 4). The average proportion of reads that aligned to the T. melanophris reference sequence (1.81%) represented, on average, 75% of the total mtDNA reads (2.41% of reads, range: 1.69–3.03%) ultimately mapping to the final, individual-specific consensus sequences. In comparison, the 0.25% of reads mapping to the mouse mitogenome represented a much smaller proportion (10.4%) of the total number of reads ultimately mapping to the final consensus sequences. The ratio of read depths between the initial reference and final consensus mitogenome sequences showed a similar pattern. For example, the average read depth aligning to the T. melanophris reference was 694X (range: 127–1297X), which was approximately 77% of the 893X (range: 162–1685X) average read depth aligning to the final consensus mitogenomes.

**Annotation and description**

The two short-tailed albatross samples had identical mitogenomes, providing no information on intraspecific variability (but generally validating the accuracy of base calls). Among species, the same features/orthologs occurred in the same arrangement in all three *Phoebastria* spp. and, except for the duplication, those of confamilial albatrosses (partial *Diomedea chrysostoma*, GenBank Accession no. AP009193.1, Watanabe et al. 2006; and T. melanophris). These features include 22 tRNAs, two rRNAs, 13 protein-coding genes and a CR (Table S1, Supporting information). The *Phoebastria* duplication was composed of a partial cytochrome *b* pseudogene, a full copy of NADH dehydrogenase 6, a full copy of the CR and three full copies of tRNAs. The partial cytochrome *b* pseudogene, duplicate NADH dehydrogenase 6 and all three duplicate tRNAs were identical to the corresponding sequence in the original features. The CRs within *Phoebastria* spp. differed partially between HV1 copies and were mostly identical at HV2 except at the 3′ end. Nevertheless, HV1 was more similar between CR1 and CR2 within species (i.e. individual representatives) than among species (Fig. 5). The NADH dehydrogenase
3 gene contained a noncoding frame-shift mutation at position 164 in all three species similar to previous observations in some other birds and in reptiles (Mindell et al. 1998). There was also evidence for two cytosine–thymine heteroplasmatic sites in the black-footed (sites 15 739 and 18 086) and Laysan albatrosses (15 829 and 18 176) in the CR.

The full Phoebastria spp. mitogenomes contained 700 mutational sites among the three species, including 689 substitutions and 11 indels. Base pair composition (T: 24.4%, C: 31.0%, A: 30.6%, G: 14.0%) was nearly identical across species (Table S2, Supporting information). The number of transitions and transversions, as well as \( K_O/K_S \) ratio, varied depending on the mtDNA feature (Table S2, Supporting information). Pairwise comparisons of nucleotide substitutions revealed that black-footed and Laysan were approximately 1.8% divergent, black-footed and short-tailed were 2.8% divergent, and Laysan and short tailed were also 2.8% divergent.

**Discussion**

In the present study, we used a WGS approach to visualize and fully annotate mitogenomes for North Pacific albatrosses. Although minimal Sanger sequencing was required to determine the order of HV1 paralogs, our approach eliminated the need for multiple PCR steps (e.g., semi-nested PCR; Kuro-O et al. 2010) to isolate paralogous copies of the CR, and also produced sequences for the entire mitogenome, providing a framework for higher resolution comparative studies. Because an objective of this study was to assess the general utility of WGS sequencing for mitogenome assembly in nonmodel taxa, including those with duplications, we presented some steps (e.g., duplication discovery and targeted Sanger sequencing) that would have been unnecessary in the absence of duplications. Additionally, in the case of small duplication events or duplications for which paralogs do not evolve in concert, a simple de novo assembly approach could be sufficient (Cooke et al. 2012). Moreover, our application of the workflow using a reference mitogenome from an entirely different class of vertebrate (i.e., a mammal) demonstrated its broad utility to nonmodel taxa. Although we utilized knowledge of the class-wide (avian) gene order to assist in assembly, such information should be readily available for many taxa even where congeneric or confamilial references are lacking. Thus, our approach provided a framework for the assembly of simple mitogenomes as well as for discovery of putative duplication or rearrangement events in taxa that have previously presented challenges to full-mitogenome assembly (e.g., Barker 2014).

Our results also provided independent support for the conclusions of previous studies that used Sanger sequencing to reveal mosaic gene conversion in Phoebastria spp. mitogenomes (Eda et al. 2010). In the albatrosses sequenced in the present study, CR duplicates were more similar, but not identical, within species than among species, which is the characteristic of a gene family subject to mosaic gene conversion (Shao et al. 2005; Eda et al. 2010). Taking this characteristic of the Phoebastria spp. mitogenome (as well as those of seabirds with similar duplication events; Morris-Pocock et al. 2010) into consideration is critical when studying the CR in a phylogeographic context. Using NGS to sequence entire mitogenomes eliminates the need to focus on one of the two copies of the CR, allowing more robust phylogeographic inferences. Moreover, once a reference mitogenome sequence is available for a focal study species, the duplication-specific steps are no longer necessary and it becomes feasible to sequence many samples in a single lane and to use open-source, reference-guided consensus sequence generating software (e.g., SAMTOOLs’ mpileup; Li et al. 2009) to rapidly assemble individual-specific mitogenomes.

Conversely, the technical difficulties in Sanger sequencing HV1 paralogs that we encountered make large-scale application of Sanger methods to multiple individuals at the very least a time- and resource-consuming task (Eda et al. 2010; Kuro-O et al. 2010). Based on PCR amplicon size (visualized via gel electrophoresis), we determined that we were eventually successful in isolating our target paralog within the duplicated elements (approximately 2 kbp spanning cytochrome b to the conserved block of CR1; Fig. 3). However, after many attempts under identical reaction conditions, we successfully Sanger sequenced portions of these amplicons in only two samples. We attribute the difficulty in sequencing this region to the presence of a polycytosine polymer and secondary structures directly adjacent to the target sequence, which often results in poor-quality data from Sanger (Butler 2005) and other sequencing approaches, including NGS (Luo et al. 2012; Knief 2014). Even using our short-read WGS approach, we observed exceptionally low read depth in this region consistent with patterns reported in other vertebrates sequenced using the same Illumina short-read platform (MiSeq; King et al. 2014). Ultimately, however, the Illumina-based approach applied in our study (and others; King et al. 2014) yielded sufficient read depths spanning the polycytosine homopolymer and containing all HV1 sequence data.

Due to excessive mitogenome coverage using a whole-genome shotgun approach, it is possible to get appropriate read depth for a moderate number of barcoded individual DNAs pooled in a single lane. Target-capture (e.g., with RNA baits) can further enrich libraries, enabling even larger numbers of barcoded individual
DNAs to be pooled and sequenced in a single lane (Hancock-Hanser et al. 2013). The mitogenome sequences presented here provide a template to design Phoebastria (or, more broadly, Diomeideidae or Procellariiformes)-specific bait probes, which could be used in large-scale, cross-species mtDNA sequencing efforts (Hancock-Hanser et al. 2013; Li et al. 2013). Mitogenome target enrichment also is used in studies that utilize ancient (archaeological) and historic (museum) specimens, which would make it possible to study temporal changes in mtDNA diversity directly over shallow evolutionary time scales (Enk et al. 2014; Mitchell et al. 2014).
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