Do South American Sea Lions Eat Farmed Salmon?



Outline

"Mixing Models: Often Used, Rarely Understood" >1000 publications have used mixing models in the past decade.

> Linear Mass Balance Mixing Models Concentration-Dependent Mixing Models The Assumption of Isotopic Routing

(1) You know and have measured all potential (prey) sources.

Ringed Seals (Hudson Bay, Canada)



*seal values have been corrected for trophic discrimination

Missing Prey: Copepods and Amphipods



*seal values have been corrected for trophic discrimination

(1) You know and have measured all potential (prey) sources.

(2) Trophic discrimination factors are known.

2 Source, 1 Isotope Mass Balance Mixing Model

Trophic Discrimination Factors?

$$\delta^{13}C_{\text{consumer}} = p_X(\delta^{13}C_X + \Delta^{13}C_X) + p_Y(\delta^{13}C_Y + \Delta^{13}C_Y)$$

$$1 = p_X + p_Y$$



Trophic Discrimination Factors $\Delta^{13}C_{tissue} = ???$

(1) You know and have measured all potential sources

(2) Trophic discrimination factors are known (and do not vary)

(3) Equal concentration of elements in dietary sources OMNIVORES

(4) Equal assimilation of dietary sources

The Omnivore's Dilemma: Unequal Concentration of Elements in Diet

CARBON

Berries (carbohydrates)





Salmon (protein & lipid) CARBON + NITROGEN Grizzly Bear Protein? (muscle/hair/blood)

Concentration-Dependent Mixing Models

Incorporating concentration dependence in stable isotope mixing models (Phillips and Koch 2002) (http://www.epa.gov/wed/pages/models/stableIsotopes/isotopes.htm)

 $\begin{aligned} & \text{Concentration-Dependent Mixing Model:} \\ & \delta^{15} \text{N}_{\text{consumer}} = ('[\text{N}_{\text{X}}])(\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{X}} + \Delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{X}}) + ('[\text{N}_{\text{Y}}])(\delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{Y}} + \Delta^{15}\text{N}_{\text{Y}}) \end{aligned}$

$$\label{eq:N_X} \begin{split} {}'[N_X] &= (p_X)[N_X] \; / \; ((p_X)[N_X] \; + \; (p_Y)[N_Y]) \\ {}'[N_Y] &= (p_Y)[N_Y] \; / \; ((p_X)[N_X] \; + \; (p_Y)[N_Y]) \end{split}$$

$$p_{X} + p_{Y} = 1$$

The model assumes that for each element, the contribution of a food source to a consumer is proportional to the assimilated biomass times the proportional element concentration in that source.

Normal versus Twisted Triangles



(1) You know and have measured all of your sources

(2) Trophic discrimination factors are known (and do not vary)

(3) Equal concentration of elements in dietary sources

(4) Equal assimilation of dietary sources

The Omnivore's Dilemma: Isotopic Routing







Salmon (protein) Grizzly Bear Tissues (Protein) (muscle/hair/blood)

Perfect Mixing versus Perfect Routing



Mixing Models Assume Perfect Mixing $\delta^{13}C_{tissues} = (p)\delta^{13}C_{salmon} + (1-p)\delta^{13}C_{berries}$

Salmon (protein & lipid)



Scenario #2: Perfect Routing



Sample Preparation Protocols (i.e., lipid-extraction) Assume Perfect Routing of Protein

Why Expect Routing?

Classic (Textbook) Animal Ecophysiology:



Remember, the size of arrows depends on relative intake...

Isotopic Routing versus Mixing Model Output



When routing occurs, mixing models *overestimate* proportion of dietary protein in diet, and the degree of overestimation depends on protein quality.

Real Data

Nile tilapia fingerlings grown on a synthetic diet C_3 protein (casein, $\delta^{13}C = -27\%$) C_4 carbohydrate & lipid ($\delta^{13}C = -12\%$)



Triple Weight to Insure Isotopic Incorporation!

4 Protein Levels (3.75%, 7.5%, 15%, 30%) 5 individual fish per treatment

Kelly and Martinez del Rio 2010

